AIR EDUCATION AND TRAINING COMMAND (AETC) ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) PLAN FOR WORKPLACE DISPUTES

September 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS	1
I. INTRODUCTION	3
II. PURPOSE, GOAL, AND VISION	3
III. SCOPE AND DEFINITION	3
IV. ADR PROGRAM STRUCTURE AND KEY PLAYERS	4
A. HQ USAF	4
1. SAF/GCD	4
2. AF/DP	4
B. HQ AETC	4
1. AETC/DP	4
V. AETC ADR PLAN FOR WORKPLACE DISPUTES	5
A. Installation-Level Structure	5
1. Wing/Installation Commander	5
2. ADR Champion	5
a. Selection by Commander	5
b. Roles/Duties	6
3. Installation Staff Judge Advocate	6
4. Installation Civilian Personnel Flight	6
5. Installation EEO Manager	7
6. ADR Functional Area Managers	7
7. Partnership with Unions	7
8. Compliance with Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Regulations	7
9. Appointment of Mediators and Other Neutrals	8
B. ADR Case Selection Criteria	8
C. ADR Program Education and Training	9
1. ADR Awareness Education	9
2. Mediator Skills Training	9
D. Legal/Compliance Support/Review of ADR Settlement Agreements	10
E. ADR Program Assessment	10
1. ADR Program Metrics	10

a. ADR Attempt Rates	10
b. ADR Resolution Rates	11
c. Timeliness of ADR Resolutions	11
d. Quality Assurance	11
2. Annual Report	11
3. Quality and Evaluation of Neutrals	11
VI. PLAN IMPLEMENTATION & ROLLOUT	12
ATTACHMENTS	
1. Mediation Evaluation Form	13
2. Nomination Form for Basic Mediation Training	15

Air Education and Training Command (AETC) Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Plan for Workplace Disputes

I. INTRODUCTION

In passing the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act (ADRA) of 1996, Congress recognized that agency dispute resolution proceedings had become increasingly formal, costly, and lengthy resulting in unnecessary expenditures of time and a decreased likelihood of achieving consensual resolution of disputes. Congress also found that ADR procedures yield decisions that are faster, less expensive and less contentious than traditional agency dispute resolution proceedings and that ADR can lead to more creative, efficient, and sensible outcomes.

Air Force Policy Directive (AFPD) 51-12 is designed to implement the ADRA of 1996 and to establish an Air Force ADR Program that promotes the voluntary use of ADR. Air Force Instruction (AFI) 51-1201 implements Air Force ADR policy in workplace disputes. This plan implements AFPD 51-12 and AFI 51-1201, paragraph 20.

II. PURPOSE, GOAL, AND VISION

Purpose:

To support the mission of AETC through the use of ADR by: (1) enhancing the effectiveness and efficiency of workplace dispute resolution throughout AETC; (2) matching AETC ADR needs with appropriate resources; and (3) translating individual dispute resolution knowledge and skills into a corporate capability.

Goal:

To implement a Command-wide ADR program, which provides a framework/process for the development of installation-level ADR programs.

Vision:

To promote the use of ADR to the maximum extent practicable and appropriate to resolve workplace disputes at the earliest stage possible, by the fastest and least expensive method possible, and at the lowest possible organizational level.

III. SCOPE AND DEFINITION

The scope of the AETC Plan incorporates opportunity for voluntary ADR of workplace disputes that arise in any context and involve any AETC personnel, civilian or military. ADR techniques should be available to assist in resolving matters raised within Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO), Merit Systems Protection Board (MSPB), Negotiated Grievance Procedure (NGP), Administrative Grievance Procedure (AGP), Unfair Labor Practice (ULP), Military Equal

¹ See Congressional findings in Section 2 of Pub. L. No. 104-320, 110 Stat. 3870 (1996).

Opportunity and Treatment (MEO),² and any other eligible workplace disputes, as defined in AFI 51-1201, paragraph 19.

Local variations of this plan to accommodate local conditions at each AETC base are authorized, subject to coordination by AETC/DP prior to final installation commander approval.

ADR is defined as any means the parties in a workplace dispute choose to incorporate in order to attempt a resolution. This includes, but not limited to, mediation, arbitration, facilitation, and informal early resolution.

IV. ADR PROGRAM STRUCTURE AND KEY PLAYERS

A. HQ USAF

AFPD 51-12 and AFI 51-1201 establish the Air Force ADR policy and program infrastructure. AFI 51-1201 further implements Air Force ADR policy and program goals in workplace disputes and assigns roles and responsibilities at the Headquarters Air Force, MAJCOM, and installation levels. Consistent with AFPD 51-12 and AFI 51-1201, the organizations below have the following responsibilities:

1. SAF/GCD

The Deputy General Counsel for Dispute Resolution (SAF/GCD) is the Air Force Dispute Resolution Specialist and has overarching responsibility for Air Force ADR policy and guidance IAW AFPD 51-12, paragraph 4.1 and AFI 51-1201, paragraph 3. SAF/GCD secures monies in the Air Force POM and uses these corporate funds to provide for ADR related training, travel, and services. SAF/GCD works closely with appropriate AETC MAJCOM and installation level functional offices to match AETC ADR training, implementation, and guidance needs with existing Air Force resources.

2. AF/DP

The Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel works with SAF/GCD to provide guidance on the use of ADR in civilian and military equal opportunity and labor-management relations programs. The Directorate of Personnel Policy, AF/DPP, is the OPR for exercising this function (Ref: AFI 51-1201, paragraph 6).

B. HQ AETC

1. AETC/DP

AETC/CC has designated AETC/DP as the AETC Workplace Dispute ADR Champion, and serves as the ADR process owner for the Command. The AETC/DP may delegate this responsibility to a lower level for implementation. The AETC Workplace Dispute ADR Champion is responsible for developing, implementing, and revising the AETC Workplace

² Military personnel disputes appropriate for resolution through the mediation process or technique shall be screened in accordance with applicable Air Force Instructions. For Military Equal Opportunity and Treatment Program (MEO) cases, see AFI 36-2706, Chapter 4, Section E.

Mediation is not intended as a substitute for appropriate action under the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ) or for use in appealing any action under the UCMJ. Specifically, mediation shall not be used to address misconduct by military members that may be actionable under the UCMJ.

Dispute ADR Plan, ensuring the development of each AETC installation's individual Workplace Dispute ADR Plan, providing oversight of the ADR program within the Command, and annually assessing the development and progress of the Command ADR program through the collection of annual reporting data from the local installations. (Ref: AFI 51-1201, paragraph 10)

V. THE AETC ADR PLAN FOR WORKPLACE DISPUTES

A. Installation-Level Structure

- 1. Wing/Installation Commander. Each AETC Installation Commander, or designee, will:
- (a) Issue a written policy encouraging the use of ADR in workplace disputes whenever practicable and appropriate to do so (Ref: AFI 51-1201, paragraph 11.1).
- (b) Appoint a single ADR Champion to: (1) work with key stakeholders in developing/implementing an installation-level workplace dispute ADR plan, consistent with the AETC ADR plan; and (2) promote the use of ADR to resolve workplace disputes. Appointment of the ADR Champion shall be accomplished IAW Part V.A.2. below.
- (c) The Commander shall also take appropriate steps to ensure their ADR activity is coordinated across functional lines (i.e. CCX, OHC, EEO, MEO, JA, and CPF) to avoid unnecessary duplication of effort and to ensure the installation's workplace ADR program is explained and understood by installation employees. These steps may be accomplished, if deemed necessary and appropriate, by the designation of ADR Functional Area Managers (FAM), IAW AFI 51-1201, paragraph 13.
 - (c) Approve the installation ADR plan (Ref: AFI 51-1201, paragraphs 11.3, 20).
- (d) With the assistance of the ADR Champion and input from the Union (if applicable), select and appoint individuals to serve as mediators/neutrals for purposes of workplace dispute ADR. (Ref: AFI 51-1201, paragraphs 11.4 and 22.3).
- (e) Exercise best efforts to ensure availability of adequate training resources to maintain proficiency of collateral-duty mediators or other neutrals and ADR program administrators (Ref: AFI 51-1201, paragraph 11.5).

2. ADR Champion

ADR Champions coordinate all workplace ADR efforts at their installation within and among the functional communities.

a. Selection by Commander

While use of ADR techniques must be voluntary, commanders must be strong advocates in order for ADR to succeed. Commanders must place special emphasis on selection of their installation ADR Champion. In order to ensure that this person is viewed as the most trusted, respected, objective, and fair neutral party by all segments of the workforce. Commanders shall solicit and encourage input from all stakeholders, including local Union officials. (Ref: AFI 51-1201, paragraph 11.2).

b. Roles/Duties

The ADR Champion is the single point of contact for all workplace dispute ADR program activity at the installation, including but not limited to the following elements:

- (1) Program oversight/implementation
- (2) Data collection/reporting
- (3) Develop/project annual funding/resource requirements
- (4) ADR awareness training and program marketing
- (5) Mediator training/resource requirement projection
- (6) Mediator performance evaluation
- (7) Assist/administer case screening/selection for use
- (8) Coordination of ADR activity across functional lines, e.g. EEO, MEO, JA, CPF, CCX, OHC
- (9) Selection of trusted neutrals as mediators
- (10) Other duties as specified in AFI 51-1201, paragraph 12

The ADR Champion will work with the local Unions, as appropriate, to negotiate appropriate agreements or develop plans for the use of ADR in workplace disputes for the installation commander's approval. Coordinate any agreements with the Unions with the installation DPC and SJA or designee to ensure compliance with applicable laws, regulations, and local collective bargaining agreements.

3. Installation Staff Judge Advocate (SJA)

The SJA provides legal advice to the Commander and ADR Champion in the development and execution of the installation ADR program, advises the Commander or designee of the suitability of workplace disputes for ADR, provides timely advice and guidance on legal issues arising in connection with ADR proceedings, including confidentiality of ADR communications and (if applicable) Union participation in ADR proceedings other than as a party or party representative, and reviews settlement agreements for legal compliance as provided in Part V.D below. (Ref: AFI 51-1201, paragraph 14).

4. Installation Civilian Personnel Flight (CPF)

The CPF will assist the installation ADR Champion in developing and implementing the installation ADR program, and work with other functional offices, including the Union as appropriate, to foster the use of ADR in non-EEO workplace dispute processes that are targeted for ADR by the installation ADR plan. These dispute processes can include agency and NGP charges, ULP allegations, MSPB appeals, and other workplace disputes that are outside the EEO complaint system. In addition, the CPF will review settlement agreements for compliance with Air Force, DoD, and federal personnel policies and regulations as provided in Part V.D below. (Ref: AFI 51-1201, paragraph 15).

5. Installation EEO Manager

The EEO Manager is responsible for ensuring that ADR is available for informal and formal EEO complaints IAW Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) directives. In addition, the EEO Manager will assist the installation ADR Champion to develop and implement the installation ADR program for use in EEO complaints and work with other functional offices, including the Union as appropriate, to integrate ADR into the EEO complaints program. In addition, the EEO Manager assures compliance with EEOC and Air Force EEO policies and regulations, as provided in Part V.D below. (Ref: AFI 51-1201, paragraph 16).

6. ADR Functional Area Managers (FAMs)

If necessary and helpful to effective administration of an installation's ADR plan, the ADR Champion may designate, with the concurrence of the office involved, a FAM in any office having a functional responsibility for resolving workplace disputes (e.g., Labor Relations, Employee Relations, EEO, JA). The FAM will serve as his or her office's primary point of contact for the ADR Champion to facilitate the use of ADR in any dispute resolution process for which that office has a functional responsibility. Designation of FAMs is entirely optional, and will depend largely on the volume and scope of the installation's workplace disputes activity. ADR Champions who themselves are assigned to an office with workplace disputes responsibility (e.g., CPF, EEO), ordinarily will not designate a FAM for that office. (Ref: AFI 51-1201, paragraph 13).

7. Partnership with Unions

- a. The local Unions at each installation are essential stakeholders, whose participation and support for using ADR to resolve all workplace disputes, including employee grievances and ULP charges, are essential to overall program success. It is essential to include the local Union in the design and negotiate the implementation of the installation ADR Program if grievances and labor-management disputes are to be included within the scope of the program.
- b. Consistent with the above, local Union(s) may, at their discretion, designate an "ADR Advocate." The ADR Advocate is a Union official who serves as the Union's primary liaison with management concerning the installation ADR program and its implementation, including drafting and revising the ADR plan, appointment and training of collateral duty mediators, ADR marketing, and the use of ADR in specific disputes.

8. Compliance with Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) Regulations

In accordance with EEOC regulations found at 29 C.F.R. 1614, every AETC installation workplace dispute ADR Plan must encompass EEO informal and formal complaints. The installation EEO Manager serves as the primary point of contact for disputes involving alleged discrimination and shall work closely with the installation ADR Champion on ADR matters related to EEO cases. In addition, the Air Force has deployed the new EEONet web-based case management and data reporting system to standardize EEO and ADR reporting within the Air Force and to the EEOC. Those documents that relate to ADR will also be available on the Air Force ADR Program website at http://www.adr.af.mil.

9. Appointment of Mediators and Other Neutrals

- a. The ADR Champion may recruit and maintain a pool of qualified individuals to serve as workplace dispute mediators for the installation on a collateral duty basis. The Commander shall solicit/consider input from Union officials and may choose to employ a selection panel, which includes Union representation (if applicable), to assist in evaluating mediator candidates.
- b. The ADR Champion shall ensure that individuals selected as mediators are trusted, respected, objective, and fair neutrals. In addition, AFI 51-1201, paragraph 23, outlines standards of conduct that Air Force mediators and case intake officials must maintain when providing mediation services. They are consent, self-determination, impartiality, conflict of interest, confidentiality, integrity of the process, and competency. AETC will adhere to these standards.
- c. Each AETC installation will develop a mediator selection process that is consistent with the criteria found in attachment 2.
- d. Individuals selected for mediator training and assignment should possess a special blend of experience, personality traits and skills in order to ensure their effectiveness. In order to remain effective following initial training, mediators need to employ their skills on a regular basis. Commanders should therefore appoint the number of mediators necessary to ensure that each has an opportunity to serve at least once per quarter, and preferably more often. Further, in order to remain effective, mediators must receive eight hours of refresher training at least every 12 months. (Ref: AFI 51-1201, paragraphs 19, 22).

B. ADR Case Selection Criteria

Under the ADRA of 1996, Congress requires consideration of the following factors in deciding if a case is appropriate for ADR.³ If any of the considerations listed below apply to an issue in controversy, use of ADR *may not* be appropriate:

- 1. A definitive and authoritative decision is needed as a precedent.
- 2. The matter involves significant issues of Government policy and ADR will not assist policy development.
- 3. Maintaining established policy and avoiding variations in implementation is of special importance.
- 4. The matter significantly affects non-parties.
- 5. A full public record of the proceeding or resolution is important.
- 6. The agency must maintain continuing jurisdiction over the matter with the right to alter the resolution as circumstance demands.

In addition, other cases may be inappropriate for ADR, based on the type of case or particular facts and circumstances. Refer to AFI 51-1201, paragraph 21, for guidance in screening cases for ADR suitability. In all cases, screening to determine whether a dispute is appropriate for ADR must be accomplished before an unconditional offer of ADR is made. (Ref: AFI 51-1201, paragraph 21.3).

-

³ See 5 U.S.C. § 572(b).

C. ADR Program Education and Training

The Air Force ADR Program Office will, upon request of the AETC ADR Champion and to extent resources permit, provide ADR program education and training. Requests for ADR Awareness training will be submitted to AETC ADR Champion. Units requesting mediation training will use the elements in Attachment 2 to request this training.

1. ADR Awareness Education

The AF ADR Program Office will, upon request and to the extent that resources permit, arrange for AETC personnel to receive ADR awareness education. Such ADR awareness education should be provided to commanders, supervisors, managers, other military and civilian personnel, and Union officials. The purpose of ADR awareness education is to increase overall understanding and acceptance of the ADR process and when its use is appropriate, rather than providing specific skills training for individuals to actually perform as workplace dispute mediators. All ADR awareness education, at a minimum will ensure attendees:

- (1) Understand the Air Force policy and its rationale;
- (2) Understand what ADR is (including, but not limited to, facilitation and mediation) and how it can assist them in resolving disputes in a consensual, non-adversarial manner;
- (3) Understand the potential of ADR to resolve the issues underlying a dispute;
- (4) Understand the potential of ADR to achieve time and cost savings by providing conflict management tools necessary to resolve disputes at the earliest possible time;
- (5) Discuss the benefits of confidentiality in mediation proceedings; and
- (6) Understand not all disputes are appropriate for resolution through ADR.

2. Mediator Skills Training

The Air Force centrally funds mediation training at the Air Force Civilian Personnel School at Maxwell AFB. In addition, mediation training is provided at various other locations, called "road shows," based on need and available resources. Both courses consist of four days of intense classroom lectures, seminar discussions and role-play exercises. Nominations for either training course should be submitted through AETC ADR Champion for consideration. Utilize the elements in Attachment 2 to nominate individuals for mediator skills training. As a general rule, only those individuals appointed by Commanders to be mediators at each installation should receive mediator skills training. On a case-by-case basis, the ADR Champion may nominate others to receive such specific mediator skills training, if needed to enhance their contribution to the workplace dispute ADR program. Further, in order to remain effective, mediators must receive eight hours of refresher training at least every 12 months. (Ref: AFI 51-1201, paragraphs 19, 22).

Where mediator training meeting the standards of the AF ADR program is available at no cost, or if central funding is unavailable, installations may locally obtain and fund such training without prior approval of the AF ADR Program Office. Ensure that training obtained from

non-Air Force sources meets minimum Air Force requirements of at least 30 hours combined classroom training and role-playing exercises. (Ref: AFI 51-1201, paragraph 22.2).

D. Legal/Compliance Support/Review of ADR Settlement Agreements

AETC policy strongly encourages the formation of settlement agreements arrived at through the use of ADR techniques. Not all workplace issues or problems become workplace "disputes." Similarly, settlement agreements involving workplace issues *may* be simple oral understandings (a "handshake"). However, if an individual has invoked a formal workplace dispute process (e.g., filed an EEO informal or formal complaint, filed a grievance under the Agency AGP or a NGP, met at Step 1 of the NGP, or engaged in mediation or a negotiated ADR process prior to Step 1 of the NGP), any settlement agreement must be in writing and signed by the parties.

In order to ensure that such written settlement agreements are legally valid, enforceable, and/or do not conflict with any regulatory requirements or provisions of a collective bargaining agreement, each written settlement agreement should be reviewed by the offices appropriate for the particular issue/dispute involved (i.e. JA/DP). Final technical reviews will only address the technical acceptability of the provisions and do not provide the opportunity to judge the wisdom, quality or value of the settlement agreement. JA review is for legal sufficiency. Subject to local procedures, DP and/or EEO review is to ensure compliance with applicable personnel rules, policies, regulations/ instructions, and collective bargaining agreements (if any). (Note: Management officials are also responsible for ensuring compliance with applicable collective bargaining agreements.) DP coordination with the Air Force Personnel Center (AFPC) may also be necessary if a settlement concerns a specific action for which AFPC is responsible. Caution should be exercised in coordinating agreements long distance to protect the confidentiality of the involved parties and to safeguard documents. JA and DP/EEO review/coordination should normally occur before the conclusion of the ADR process (i.e. before a settlement agreement is actually signed by the parties) to avoid any potential compliance problems, but not later than three workdays following the ADR process.

E. ADR Program Assessment

1. ADR Program Metrics

To ensure that the ADR Program goals are clearly defined and accurately measured, the AETC ADR Champion (AETC/DP) will utilize the following metrics to quantify and evaluate program performance. Data and metrics are gathered for informational purposes and to assist in resource allocation planning, and shall not be used to compare individual installation ADR programs.

a. ADR Attempt Rates

Analyzes each installation's performance relative to the previous year. The goal is to attempt ADR in at least 50% of the aggregate of eligible disputes. The desired trend is to increase attempt rates until ADR is attempted in approximately all disputes where appropriate. Separate percentage goals may be established for specific categories of disputes (e.g., EEO

complaints, grievances). These rates will be identified as the program matures and this metric is modified accordingly. (Ref: AFI 51-1201, paragraph 38.1).

b. ADR Resolution Rates

Analyzes the number of disputes resolved through ADR. The goal is to resolve at least 70% of the disputes in which ADR is attempted. The resolution percentage should increase over time until reaching the maximum reasonable level, however ADR Champions must ensure that the resolution rate goal is not used to improperly pressure parties into settlements. This level will be identified as the program matures and this metric is modified accordingly. (Ref: AFI 51-1201, paragraph 38.2).

c. Timeliness of ADR Resolutions

Analyzes the average number of days required to begin and complete an ADR process (e.g., "at installation X, we processed our ADR cases in an average of 32 days.") The goal for this metric is an average of 45 calendar days or less. (Ref: AFI 51-1201, paragraph 38.3).

d. Quality Assurance

Measure customer satisfaction regarding the provision of ADR services to ensure the quality of the services provided and the competence of the neutrals providing those services. The goal is to achieve an overall rating of "satisfied" or better for the ADR process employed from at least 80% of the respondents, and an overall rating of "good" or better for the neutral from at least 80% of the respondents, during the measuring period. See Attachment 1. (Ref: AFI 51-1201, paragraph 38.4 and Attachment 2).

2. Annual Report

All AETC installations will prepare and submit reports of their ADR data, including performance metrics, as requested by SAF/GCD, IAW AFI 51-1201, paragraph 39. Reports will be submitted via the medium designated by SAF/GCD in its request. Base reports will be coordinated with HQ AETC/DP, who will in turn coordinate the data with HQ AETC/JA. All bases must verify their data with their CPF, EEO, and JA offices before submitting it to AETC/DP and SAF/GCD.

3. Quality and Evaluation of Neutrals

The installation-level ADR Champions will ensure the parties to each ADR proceeding are provided an ADR evaluation form (see Attachment 1) to complete (voluntarily and anonymously if they so choose) and give to the neutral or the ADR Champion or designee following the termination of the proceeding. The Installation ADR Champion will collect, review, and summarize these evaluations from the neutrals and will use this information to ensure the quality of the services provided by ADR neutrals remain high.

Unless otherwise provided for by local agreement, any complaints or problems with a specific mediator should be directed to the installation ADR Champion for appropriate consideration and action. (Ref: AFI 51-1201, paragraph 40).

Any complaints or problems with an outside third-party neutral under contract with the Air Force should be directed through the installation and AETC ADR Champions, to the AF ADR Program Office, for appropriate consideration and action.

VI. TARGET PLAN ROLLOUT AND IMPLEMENTATION

- A. AETC ADR Program Design Workshop
- B. AETC/CC Appointment of ADR Champion (completed by 30 September)
- C. AETC/CC Approval of AETC ADR Plan (completed by 30 September)
- D. Issue an AETC ADR Policy Statement (completed by 30 September)
- E. Installation Commander appoints Local ADR Champion (completed by 30 October)
- F. AETC ADR Champion compiles/distributes a list of installation ADR Champions and their contact information.
- G. Installations Develop Local ADR plan (completed by 31 December)
- H. Installation CCs issue written ADR policy and approve ADR plan (completed by 30 January)
- I. Installation CCs appoint current collateral duty mediators/neutrals, if applicable (completed by 30 January)
- J. AETC ADR Champion arranges Mediator Refresher Training (completed by 28 February)
- K. ADR Champions arrange to provide ADR Awareness Training (GCD will provide suggested materials) (completed by 30 March)
 - L. Incorporate ADR Awareness Training into Local Training Courses, e.g. Supervisory and new employee training/orientation (completed FY 05)
 - M. Follow-up Briefings by Local ADR Champion with Commanders W/In 90 Days (completed by 30 December)
 - N. Submit data for Program Assessment (e. g. fiscal year end review/reports) (completed 30 October), GCD will ensure validation by 30 November
 - O. AETC ADR Program implemented NLT 30 September 05

Attachment 1 - AETC Workplace Dispute ADR Evaluation Form

Date Parties Agreed to Use ADR:	ADR Number (if any):
Date ADR Completed:	Neutral:
Time ADR Started:	
Time ADR Ended:	
What was your role in the case? () En	
What was your role in the case? () En) Other (please specify)	
What was your role in the case? () En) Other (please specify) How would you compare the amount of	time taken to resolve this case using the ADR process are been required if a formal dispute resolution had

3. <u>ADR PROCESS</u> - The following questions concern your experience with the ADR Process. Please tell us how satisfied you were with each of the following features of the process. (Please check one)

Feature	Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Neutral	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
Amount of information you received about					
the process.					
Amount of control you had over the					
process.					
Opportunity to present your side of the					
dispute.					
Fairness of the process.					
Overall outcome of the process.					
Speed with which the dispute was					
resolved.					
Outcome of the process compared to what					1
you expected it to be before it took place.					
Overall, how satisfied were you with the					
ADR process?					

4. <u>Mediator/Facilitator</u>: Would you please take a moment to evaluate your mediator/facilitator using the following chart? (Please check one)

	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor
1. Neutrality (Did the mediator/facilitator have the					
appearance of impartiality, without favoritism or bias?)					
2. Communication (How well did the mediator/facilitator					
facilitate communication between the parties?)					
3. Managing the ADR Process (Did the					
mediator/facilitator effectively handle conflicts, suggest			:		
movement ideas, propose problem-solving solutions?)					
4. Patience (Did the mediator/facilitator devote the	!				
necessary time and attention to the parties to keep the				1	
process moving without appearing to rush or be in a hurry to					
complete the process?)					
5. Expertise (Did the mediator/facilitator demonstrate the					
necessary expertise to mediate this type of dispute?)					
6. Facilitative Abilities (Did the mediator/facilitator ask					
relevant questions to seek out pertinent information and					
keep the process moving forward?)					
7. Overall Ability of the Mediator/Facilitator in General					

5. Outcome of the Mediation Please Check one:() Full Settlement () Partial Settlement () Did not Settle	
6. Would your recommend this process? ()Yes ()No	
7. Would you recommend this Mediator/Facilitator for future mediations? ()Yes ()No	
Comments:	

Attachment 2 – Nomination Form For Basic Mediation Course

I.	. BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
1.	. Name:	2. Title:
3.	3. Organization:	4. Rank
5.	5. Phone:	6. Fax
7.	7. E-Mail:	7. SSN:
8. co	3. To help us with budgeting for your expense course: By Car E	ses, please indicate whether you would travel to this By Plane
II	II. OPPORTUNITY FOR NOMINEE	TO USE MEDIATION SKILLS
1.	1. Types of workplace disputes nominee han	dles
	a EEO Complaints	b Labor/Management Disputes
	c MSPB Matters	d Other (please specify)
2.	2. Number of foregoing complaints handled	by nominee in FY:
3.	3. Number of foregoing complaints handled	by nominee's office in FY:
4.	4. Other relevant information about nominee	e's opportunity to use mediation skills:
II	III. QUALIFICATIONS OF NOMINE	E
Sı	Successful mediators possess special skills as writing below that the nominee has the ability	nd abilities. The nominee's supervisor must agree in y to:
	glean and analyze information	from disputants;
	listen actively;	
	suspend judgment;	
	facilitate communication betw	een disputants;
	facilitate options for resolution	n of disputes; and
	draft clearly-worded settlemen	t agreement.

	Name and Title
IV.	NOMINEE'S PLEDGE
	I have discussed the foregoing with my supervisor and with his/her support make the following pledge to:
•	Attend the 32 hour Air Force Basic Mediation Course;
•	Strive to maintain and improve their mediation skills for 24 months after completion of the Air Force Basic Mediation Course;
•	Strive to attend regular mediator training meetings scheduled by the Installation ADR Advocate(s) that will provide training on topics such as improving communication skills mediator ethics; various complaint systems; terms of the Union contract; interest-based bargaining techniques; role-playing; improving parts of mediation (i.e. opening statements, what to listen for in parties' statements, moving to caucus, identifying impasse,) overview of personnel policies, procedures and POCs; and
•	If requested, attend the Air Force Advanced Mediation Course.
	Nominee
	Date

[Endorsement from Local Mediator, Panel Review]